Case 4:24-cr-00414-JSW Document 15 Filed 07/26/24 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR 24-414 JSW
Plaintiff, v. (ASEY ROBERT WHITE, Defendant(s) GOONAN) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT)
	1 26 700.
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record Trial Act from $\frac{7/26/24}{26/24}$ to $\frac{9/17/2}{26/24}$ continuance outweigh the best interest of the publes 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and	and finds that the ends of justice ser data of plate of cour ic and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S. N. S. FFICE OF CALIFOR
Failure to grant a continuance wou See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ld be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to ex	ex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact pect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial shed by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	ld deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance would counsel's other scheduled case complete 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	ld unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given mitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
Failure to grant a continuance would necessary for effective preparation. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	ld unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the coparagraph and — based on the part the time limits for a preliminary he extending the 30-day time period for	and taking into account the public interest in the prompt ourt sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first ies' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending aring under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for or an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the d. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: 7/26/24	() Phos
	DONNA M. RYU
	United States Magistrate Judge
STIPLII A TED	- Mary

Attorney for Defendant

Assistant United States Attorney